

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,749 08/04/2000	Frank W. Sudia	12959.0003	3288
Patent Group Choate, Hall & Stewart - Exchange Place 53 State Street		EXAMINER	
		DINH, MINH	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02109-2804		2132	TATER NUMBER
		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		•		
Office Action Summary	09/633,149	SUDIA, FRANK W.		
omec Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Minh Dinh	2132 with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be stated for the period for the period for reply will be stated for the period	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the violet will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	drawn from consideration.			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2000</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o	objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/633,149

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 1 has been examined.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "TVH Unique ID" (page 49, lines 12 and 19) should be changed to "THV Unique ID".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Micali (5,666,416) in view of Stubblebine (6,216,231). Micali discloses a method for revoking a public key certificate, comprising:

at the time of issuance, a certification authority embeds a terminal hash value (THV) corresponding to an initial random value (IRV) into the public key certificate and digitally signs the public key certificate with a private key (col. 4, lines 45-58);

an entity request revocation (col. 5, lines 12-14); and

Application/Control Number: 09/633,149

Art Unit: 2132

the certification authority ceases publication of valid periodic freshness indicator (PFI) updates for the pubic key certificate (col. 5, lines 12-14 and 34-41).

In the Micali reference, the certification authority is responsible for both issuing and revoking certificates. Stubblebine discloses separate use of a certification authority for issuing certificates and a revocation authority for revoking certificates (col. 3, lines 19-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Micali method to utilize a revocation authority for revoking certificates, as taught by Stubblebine. Accordingly, the revocation authority provides the THV to the certification authority and ceases publication of valid PFI updates. The motivation for doing so would have been that the compromise of the revocation authority does not enable the adversary to issue new certificates (page 4, lines 29-30).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri: 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/633,149

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dinh Examiner Art Unit 2132

MD 04/05/2003

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100